



Appl. No. 10/684,613

Amdt. Dated March 28, 2006

Reply to Office action of September 30, 2005

Remarks/Arguments

Figures 1 and 2 have been designated by a legend such as a--Prior Art--.

Color photos petition is requested to allow their use as acceptable drawings. Appropriate \$130.00 dollar fee is enclosed.

3 month extension is requested. Appropriate \$510.00 dollar fee is enclosed.

Corrected drawing sheets are submitted, no new matter is added. Existing omitted elements are numbered 10 and 11 in FIG. 7.

Claim objections

Claims 3 and 6 informalities have been corrected.

Double Patenting

Explained in phone interview with Examiner Lindsey the difference between claims 1-3 and claims 3-6. Claims 1-3 are directed to a hood that is not attached to the facemask. Claims 3-6 are directed to an integrated hood that is attached to a facemask.

Claim Rejections 35 USC 102

Claim Rejections are believed to be overcome during phone interview with Examiner Lindsey. The wording of all 6 claims was changed to define a hood and facemask for being in contact with the perimeter of the face.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Ralph Corsini

Interview
OK
R2
7/27/05